



Appendix F: Farmland Conversion Form

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Natural Resources Conservation Service

## FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)				3. Date of Land Evaluation Request				4. Sheet 1 of	
1. Name of Project I-26 Widening from MM 187-194			5. Federal Agency Involved FHWA						
2. Type of Project Transportation			6. County and State Berkeley, SC						
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)			1. Date Request Received by NRCS 2. Person				Completing Form		
3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this for				YES I NU I			4. Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size		
			and in Government Jurisdiction			7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA			
		Acres:	Acres:			Acres: %			
8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used 9. Name of Loc			al Site Assessment System			10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS			
				Alternative Corr			idor For Segment		
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)				Corridor A Corridor B			Corridor C	Corridor D	
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly									
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services									
C. Total Acres In Corridor				0	0		0	0	
PART IV (To be completed by N	IRCS) Land Evaluat	ion Informatio	n						
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland									
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland									
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted									
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative									
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion									
value of Farmland to Be Serviced									
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))			Maximum Points						
1. Area in Nonurban Use			15	14					
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use			10	10					
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed			20	8					
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government			20	0					
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average			10	10					
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland			25	0					
7. Availablility Of Farm Support Services			5	4					
8. On-Farm Investments			20	10					
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services			25	0					
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use			10	0					
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS			160	56	0		0	0	
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)									
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)			100	100					
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)			160	56	0		0	0	
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)			260	156	0		0	0	
1. Corridor Selected: A	orridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 4.3				4. Was	4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?			

5. Reason For Selection:

Yn

Signature of Person Completing this Part:

DATE 10/1/19

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor

NRCS-CPA-106 (Rev. 1-91)

## **CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA**

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor - type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor - type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

(1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
 More than 90 percent - 15 points
 90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
 Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
More than 90 percent - 10 points
90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?

More than 90 percent - 20 points 90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s) Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(4) Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
Site is protected - 20 points

Site is not protected - 0 points

(5) Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County ? (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with \$1,000 or more in sales.) As large or larger - 10 points

Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

(6) If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?

Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s) Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

(7) Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
 All required services are available - 5 points
 Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
 No required services are available - 0 points

(8) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures? High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s) No on-farm investment - 0 points

(9) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area? Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s) No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

(10) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use? Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s) Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points



Figure 1: Farmland Classification

I-26 Widening Project Berkeley County, South Carolina

